



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	O. I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/812,011		03/30/2004	Jeong-su Lim	1572.1274	2786
21171	7590	12/02/2005	EXAMINER		INER
STAAS (SUITE 70	& HALSE	Y LLP	EPPS, TODD MICHAEL		
	-	VENUE, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	GTON, DO	•	3632		
•			DATE MAILED: 12/02/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/812,011	LIM, JEONG-SU			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Todd M. Epps	3632			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING E - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 f	<u> March 2004</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on 30 March 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/30/04.	6) Other:	atent Application (FTO-192)			

Page 2

This is the first Office Action for serial number 10/812,011, Monitor Apparatus, filed on March 30, 2004.

Specification

The specification is objected to because line 2 in [0005], "base 101that corresponds" should be -- base 101 that corresponds --.

Claim Objections

Claims 4, 12, and 25 are objected.

Regarding claim 4, line 3, "a protrusion protruding from the base bracket, to restrict" should be -- a protrusion protruding from the base bracket to restrict --.

Regarding claim 12, line 3, "a torsion spring, having" should be -- a torsion spring having --.

Regarding claim 25, line 1, "according to claim 26" should be -- according to claim 16 --.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-18, 22-28, 30, 31, and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the Applicants Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) as identified by Fig. 1-2b of the drawings, and pages 1-2 of specification, which the applicant submitted.

The prior art discloses a first link (110, 1st side) provided between the monitor (102) and the base (101); a second link (110, 2nd side) provided between the monitor (102) and the base (101), and adjacent to the first link; a base bracket (104, and 106), combined to the base (101), the base bracket having first and second lower supporting parts (adjacent of 104, and 106) to rotatably support lower parts of the first and second links, respectively; a connecting bracket (fig. 1) rotatably combined to the monitor (102), the connecting bracket having first and second upper supporters (fig. 1) to rotatably support upper parts of the first and second links, respectively; a first spring (fig. 1- 1st side) interposed between the first link and the first lower supporting part, to elastically bias the first link upward with respect to the base; a second spring (fig. 1- 2nd side) interposed between the second link and the second lower supporting part to elastically bias the second link upward with respect to the base, wherein the distance between rotating axes of the first and second lower supporting parts that rotatably support the first and second links, respectively, is greater than the distance between tilting axes of the first and second upper supporters; a monitor coupler (fig. 1) spaced from the first and second supporters, and rotatably combined to the monitor; a link rotation restrictive part (fig. 1; bottom between springs and links) to restrict a rotation angle of at least one of the first and second links relative to the base; wherein a link rotation restrictive part further comprises a protrusion protruding from the base bracket to restrict the rotation

Art Unit: 3632

angle of at least one of the first and second links by making contact with an upper surface of at least one of the first and second links (fig. 1); wherein the first spring further comprises a torsion spring having a first end coupled to the first lower supporting part, and a second end coupled to the first link; wherein the second spring further comprises a torsion spring having a first end removably coupled to the second lower supporting part, and a second end removably coupled to the second link; a monitor bracket (fig. 1 – backside of the monitor) combined to the monitor, and rotatably combined to the connecting bracket; a monitor tilting restrictive part (110 - top portion) to restrict a tilting angle of the monitor bracket relative to the connecting bracket; and a projection protruding from the monitor coupler, and a stopping part (fig. 1) formed by cutting an arc of the connecting supporter provided in the monitor bracket; wherein height of the monitor is adjusted by rotating the first and second links relative to the base (fig. 1-2B);

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 19, 20, 21, 32, and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Applicants Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) as identified by Fig. 1-2b of

Application/Control Number: 10/812,011

Art Unit: 3632

the drawings, and pages 1-2 of specification, which the applicant submitted in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,822,857 to Jung et al. (Jung).

Page 5

The prior art discloses the previous invention failing to specifically teach wherein the connecting supporter further comprises a through hole via which the monitor couple is rotatably combined to the connecting supporter. Nevertheless, Jung '857 discloses wherein a connecting supporter comprises a through hole via which the monitor coupler is rotatably combined to the connecting supporter. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the connecting supporter of the previous art with the connecting supporter as in Jung '857 wherein doing so would provide thereof convenience to remove the monitor coupler for disassembly.

Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Applicants Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) as identified by Fig. 1-2b of the drawings, and pages 1-2 of specification, which the applicant submitted.

The prior art discloses the previous invention failing to specifically teach wherein the sum of resilience due to the first and second springs is approximately equal to a weight of the monitor. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the sum of resilience due to the first and second springs equal to a weight of the monitor wherein doing so would provide thereof for additional strength and support to hold the monitor at any height.

Art Unit: 3632

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- U.S. Patent No. 6,695,274 to Chiu
- U.S. Patent No. 6,015,120 to Sweere et al.

The above references disclose a structure similar to the applicant's invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Todd M. Epps whose telephone number is 571-272-8282. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski can be reached on 571-272-6788. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/812,011

Art Unit: 3632

Todd M. Epps Patent Examiner Art Unit 3632 November 23, 2005

ROBERT P. OLSZEWSKI

PERMSORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Page 7